

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,261	08/21/2003	Frank Liebenow	P1947US00	9525
24333 7590 9,005,2009 GATEWAY, INC. ATTN: Patent Attorney 610 GATEWAY DRIVE MAIL DROP Y-04			EXAMINER	
			PRABHAKHER, PRITHAM DAVID	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
N. SIOUX CITY, SD 57049			2622	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/05/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,261

Art Unit: 2622

In the examiner's opinion Tanaka (JP 2002-152570A1) and Iwamura (US Pub No.:

20050018766A1) in view of Prabhu et al. (US Patent No.: 6903762B2) still disclose

providing a toggle control to selectively display a novice parameter setting menu or an

advanced parameter setting menu, said advanced parameter setting menu including a

wider selection of parameters than said novice parameter setting menu, a user being able

to select parameters for a menu according to his experience level

The applicant points to Column 10, Lines 40-42 of Prabhu et al. as being a reason as to not allowing a user to select features from a preset set of features for his experience level.

The examiner respectfully disagrees with this assertion. The applicant has referred to

S174 to S176 as being reasons for why Prabhu et al. do not teach the limitations of

independent claims 1, 14 and 25. The examiner would like to point to the preceding steps

leading up to \$174 and point that it is the user that selects a set of features from a preset

set of features for his experience level (Figure 6 of Prabhu et al.). Again, as cited in the

previous office action (mailed on 11/24/08), Prabhu et al. disclose that the camera

customization software can then create a customized GUI according to a particular user

where a novice user is provided with a simple set of features by hiding the features that

are more advanced, (Column 11, Lines 10-16 of Prabhu et al.).

As per the reasons given above, the finality of the rejection will be maintained.

/David L. Ometz/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2622